

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/683,779	02/13/2002	Manoharprasad ¹ K. Rao	201-0633 FAM	9492
28549	7590 07/06/2004		EXAMINER	
KEVIN G. MIERZWA		TRAN, DALENA		
ARTZ & ARTZ, P.C. 28333 TELEGRAPH ROAD, SUITE 250		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SOUTHFIELD, MI 48034			3661	

DATE MAILED: 07/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
			EXAMINER	
			ART UNIT	PAPER
				20040629
			DATE MAILED	:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-
Advisory Action	09/683,779	RAO ET AL.	
, Advisory Addon	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dalena Tran	3661	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to ave final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply n places the applica	ition in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the context of th	divisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the appropertion of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	S.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed	amendment
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	arrad on h)		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	it(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·	
10. Other:		Jan Man Q. NGUYE	ny-
S. Patent and Trademark Office		SAHY EXAMI	'Gr' () —

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Advisory Action

Part of Paper No. 20040629

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the references cited still read the claim invention. Lemelson et al. disclose in column 7, lines 40-41, the width can ascertained, and lines 44-45, the relative velocities can calculated. Therefore, it is obvious the decision zone can defined. Also, it is obvious Lemelson et al. disclose determining an object within a decision zone in column 7, lines 30-41.

TAN Q. NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINE